

#### INDIVIDUAL MEMBER INTERVIEW EVALUATIONS MEETING MAY 6, 2024 9:30, 10:15, 11:00, 11:45 AM BUIDLING 9 RM 20

Instructions for completing form:				
The Evaluation Committee will use the following criteria to evaluate and presentation and interview. The maximum attainable point value for the maximum attainable point value for each area to be assessed is indicated am meeting.  ***********************************	presentation and in below. Do not in	nterview is 50 p itial confirmat	oints. The ion until 11:45	
TIME - 9:30AM				
Architectural Firm Comm	ittee Member	<u> </u>		
Criteria	Maximum	Awarded	11:45 am	
Critoria	Points	Points	Confirmation	
1. Project Team	20	A//A		
2. Design Approach	10	AULA		
3. Knowledge of SREF	10	11/0		
Completeness of Presentation	10	ALIA		
*****		Total Points	<i>NA</i> *********	
TIME – 10:15AM  Architectural Firm Comm	ittee Member	1		
Criteria	Maximum Points	Awarded Points	11:45 am Confirmation	
1. Project Team	20	15	DD	
2. Design Approach	10	100/7	00	
3. Knowledge of SREF	10	+D	DD	
4. Completeness of Presentation	10	D 10	00	
	,	Total Points	31742	
******************	*****	*******	******	
TIME – 11:00AM Architectural Firm Walken Comm	ittee Member	1	· 	
Criteria	Maximum	Awarded	11:45 am	
Citiena	Points	Points	Confirmation	
1. Project Team	20	7/2	no	
2. Design Approach	10	0 200 200	Pann	
3. Knowledge of SREF	10	10	00	
A Completeness of Presentation	10 01	100	00	



#### INTERVIEW EVALUATION CRITERIA

After Respondents have been evaluated on their written submissions, three (3) Respondents with the highest scores will be more closely considered through an interview which will include introductions to the Evaluation Committee of the proposed architectural team and a presentation of the team's approach to performing the representative projects and services listed in this RFQ. Respondents are expected to discuss and present how they would provide the required services. The interview session will also include questions from the Evaluation Committee.

IT IS NOT THE INTENT OF THIS PRESENTATION TO BE A PROPOSED DESIGN PRESENTATION FOR THE PROJECT.

Invited Respondents will be allowed 20 minutes to make introductions of the proposed project team and explain how the team will use their expertise and experience to approach and ensure a successful project for North Florida College. A presentation not conforming to time limitations set herein will be halted at the expiration of the allotted time. Following the presentation, approximately 10 minutes are allowed for questions from the Evaluation Committee, however, the Committee reserves the right to extend the period allowed for questions as needed at the sole discretion of the Committee.

The Evaluation Committee will use the following criteria to evaluate and assign points or scores to each Respondent's interview. The maximum attainable point value for the interview is 50 points. The maximum attainable point value for each area to be assessed is indicated below and in parenthesis.

#### 1. Proposed Architectural Project Team, Staff and Functions (Up to 20 points)

The Respondent shall propose the Architectural Project Team including appropriate consulting engineers as applicable which will be assigned to the College. The Respondent shall introduce the team to the Evaluation Committee, describe their ability and experience, and indicate the function of each within their organization and their proposed role on projects. The Architect's assigned key staff shall be present at the time of the interview. Consultant engineering team members may be present at these interviews.

#### 2. Design Approach and Methodology (Up to 10 points)

Each Respondent shall demonstrate their knowledge of State Requirements for Educational Facilities (SREF) and the Florida Building Code (FBC) as it relates to the architectural/engineering design, space planning, documentation, construction documents, and management of the construction process after hard-bid award of a construction contract.

#### 4. Completeness, Responsiveness, and Effectiveness of Presentation (Up to 10 Points)



### INDIVIDUAL MEMBER INTERVIEW EVALUATIONS MEETING MAY 6, 2024 9:30, 10:15, 11:00, 11:45 AM BUIDLING 9 RM 20

#### <u>Instructions for completing form:</u>

The Evaluation Committee will use the following criteria to evaluate and assign points or scores to each Respondent's
presentation and interview. The maximum attainable point value for the presentation and interview is 50 points. The
maximum attainable point value for each area to be assessed is indicated below. Do not initial confirmation until 11:45
am meeting.

presenta maximu <b>am mee</b> t	tion and interview. The maximum attainable point value for each area to be assessed ting.	alue for the presentation and is indicated below. <b>Do not i</b>	interview is 50 po nitial confirmation	oints. The on until 11:45
TIME –	9:30AM		1	
Architectural Firm		Committee Member	-	
	Criteria	Maximum	Awarded	11:45 am
		Points	Points	Confirmation
1.	Project Team	20	NA	
2.	Design Approach	10	NA	·
3.	Knowledge of SREF	10	NA	
4.	Completeness of Presentation	10	NA	
			Total Points	0
TIME –	**************************************	**************************************	*******	*****
	Criteria	Maximum	Awarded	11:45 am
		Points	Points (1)	Confirmation
	Project Team	20	18	$\mathcal{L}$
	Design Approach	10		$\mathcal{E}$
	Knowledge of SREF	10	9	A CO
4.	Completeness of Presentation		1 1	
			Total Points	43
	****************	*********	*****	******
	tural Firm Walker	Committee Member		
	Criteria	Maximum Points	Awarded Points	11:45 am Confirmation
1.	Project Team	20	Ve W Down	
2.	Design Approach	10		
3.	Knowledge of SREF	10	8	
4.	Completeness of Presentation	10	IVO-	(LD



#### INTERVIEW EVALUATION CRITERIA

After Respondents have been evaluated on their written submissions, three (3) Respondents with the highest scores will be more closely considered through an interview which will include introductions to the Evaluation Committee of the proposed architectural team and a presentation of the team's approach to performing the representative projects and services listed in this RFQ. Respondents are expected to discuss and present how they would provide the required services. The interview session will also include questions from the Evaluation Committee.

IT IS NOT THE INTENT OF THIS PRESENTATION TO BE A PROPOSED DESIGN PRESENTATION FOR THE PROJECT.

Invited Respondents will be allowed 20 minutes to make introductions of the proposed project team and explain how the team will use their expertise and experience to approach and ensure a successful project for North Florida College. A presentation not conforming to time limitations set herein will be halted at the expiration of the allotted time. Following the presentation, approximately 10 minutes are allowed for questions from the Evaluation Committee, however, the Committee reserves the right to extend the period allowed for questions as needed at the sole discretion of the Committee.

The Evaluation Committee will use the following criteria to evaluate and assign points or scores to each Respondent's interview. The maximum attainable point value for the interview is 50 points. The maximum attainable point value for each area to be assessed is indicated below and in parenthesis.

#### 1. Proposed Architectural Project Team, Staff and Functions (Up to 20 points)

The Respondent shall propose the Architectural Project Team including appropriate consulting engineers as applicable which will be assigned to the College. The Respondent shall introduce the team to the Evaluation Committee, describe their ability and experience, and indicate the function of each within their organization and their proposed role on projects. The Architect's assigned key staff shall be present at the time of the interview. Consultant engineering team members may be present at these interviews.

#### 2. Design Approach and Methodology (Up to 10 points)

Each Respondent shall demonstrate their knowledge of State Requirements for Educational Facilities (SREF) and the Florida Building Code (FBC) as it relates to the architectural/engineering design, space planning, documentation, construction documents, and management of the construction process after hard-bid award of a construction contract.

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

#### 4. Completeness, Responsiveness, and Effectiveness of Presentation (Up to 10 Points)



### INDIVIDUAL MEMBER INTERVIEW EVALUATIONS MEETING MAY 6, 2024 9:30, 10:15, 11:00, 11:45 AM BUIDLING 9 RM 20

Instructions for completing form:			
The Evaluation Committee will use the following criteria	to evaluate and assign points or	scores to each F	Respondent's
presentation and interview. The maximum attainable point			
maximum attainable point value for each area to be assess			
am meeting.			
*******************	*********	******	******
TIME - 9:30AM		1,225	
Architectural Firm	Committee Member	3	
A STATE OF THE STA			
Criteria	Maximum	Awarded	11:45 am
	Points	Points	Confirmation
1. Project Team	20	0	
2. Design Approach	10	0	
3. Knowledge of SREF	10	8	
4. Completeness of Presentation	10	0	
		<b>Total Points</b>	
**************	*********	******	******
TIME - 10:15AM		9	
Architectural Firm CRA	Committee Member	5	
Criteria	Maximum	Awarded	11:45 am
	Points	Points	Confirmation
1. Project Team	20	20	Me
2. Design Approach	10	<b>9</b>	LA
3. Knowledge of SREF	10	10	Ma
4. Completeness of Presentation	10	10	11/1/
			40
		<b>Total Points</b>	49
***************	*********	*****	******
TIME - 11:00AM		7	
Architectural Firm Walker Architect	Committee Member	5	
Criteria	Maximum	Awarded	11:45 am
	Points	Points	Confirmation
1. Project Team	20	20	MZ
2. Design Approach	10	8	MI
Knowledge of SREF	10	10	MU
1 Completeness of Presentation	10	9	1001/



#### INTERVIEW EVALUATION CRITERIA

After Respondents have been evaluated on their written submissions, three (3) Respondents with the highest scores will be more closely considered through an interview which will include introductions to the Evaluation Committee of the proposed architectural team and a presentation of the team's approach to performing the representative projects and services listed in this RFQ. Respondents are expected to discuss and present how they would provide the required services. The interview session will also include questions from the Evaluation Committee.

IT IS NOT THE INTENT OF THIS PRESENTATION TO BE A PROPOSED DESIGN PRESENTATION FOR THE PROJECT.

Invited Respondents will be allowed 20 minutes to make introductions of the proposed project team and explain how the team will use their expertise and experience to approach and ensure a successful project for North Florida College. A presentation not conforming to time limitations set herein will be halted at the expiration of the allotted time. Following the presentation, approximately 10 minutes are allowed for questions from the Evaluation Committee, however, the Committee reserves the right to extend the period allowed for questions as needed at the sole discretion of the Committee.

The Evaluation Committee will use the following criteria to evaluate and assign points or scores to each Respondent's interview. The maximum attainable point value for the interview is 50 points. The maximum attainable point value for each area to be assessed is indicated below and in parenthesis.

#### 1. Proposed Architectural Project Team, Staff and Functions (Up to 20 points)

The Respondent shall propose the Architectural Project Team including appropriate consulting engineers as applicable which will be assigned to the College. The Respondent shall introduce the team to the Evaluation Committee, describe their ability and experience, and indicate the function of each within their organization and their proposed role on projects. The Architect's assigned key staff shall be present at the time of the interview. Consultant engineering team members may be present at these interviews.

2 D - 1 - 1 - 1 M - 0 - 1 - 1 - - - (T - 4 - 10 - - 1 - 4 - 10

#### 2. Design Approach and Methodology (Up to 10 points)

Each Respondent shall demonstrate their knowledge of State Requirements for Educational Facilities (SREF) and the Florida Building Code (FBC) as it relates to the architectural/engineering design, space planning, documentation, construction documents, and management of the construction process after hard-bid award of a construction contract.

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

#### 4. Completeness, Responsiveness, and Effectiveness of Presentation (Up to 10 Points)



### INDIVIDUAL MEMBER INTERVIEW EVALUATIONS MEETING MAY 6, 2024 9:30, 10:15, 11:00, 11:45 AM BUIDLING 9 RM 20

#### **Instructions for completing form:**

The Evaluation Committee will use the following criteria to evaluate and assign points or scores to each Respondent's presentation and interview. The maximum attainable point value for the presentation and interview is 50 points. The maximum attainable point value for each area to be assessed is indicated below. **Do not initial confirmation until 11:45** am meeting.

am meeting. ************************************	******	*****	******
<u>TIME - 9:30AM</u>		11	
Architectural Firm NA	Committee Member		
Criteria	Maximum	Awarded	11:45 am
Criteria	Points	Points	Confirmation
1. Project Team	20	NA	K.S.
2. Design Approach	10	NA	VS
3. Knowledge of SREF	10	NA	V. S.
4. Completeness of Presentation	10	NA	k.S. K.S.
***************	*****	Total Points	AM
TIME - 10:15AM Architectural Firm CRA Architects	Committee Member	11	
Criteria	Maximum	Awarded	11:45 am
	Points	Points	Confirmation
1. Project Team	20	20	K.S.
2. Design Approach	10	10	k.s.
3. Knowledge of SREF	10	10	k.S.
4. Completeness of Presentation	10	10	K.S.
		Total Points	50
***************	*******	*****	*****
TIME - 11:00AM Architectural Firm Walker Architects	Committee Member	4	
Criteria	Maximum	Awarded	11:45 am
	Points	Points	Confirmation
1. Project Team	20	20	K.S.
2. Design Approach	10	9	k.s.
3. Knowledge of SREF	10	10	K.S.
4. Completeness of Presentation	10	9	THE K



#### INTERVIEW EVALUATION CRITERIA

After Respondents have been evaluated on their written submissions, three (3) Respondents with the highest scores will be more closely considered through an interview which will include introductions to the Evaluation Committee of the proposed architectural team and a presentation of the team's approach to performing the representative projects and services listed in this RFQ. Respondents are expected to discuss and present how they would provide the required services. The interview session will also include questions from the Evaluation Committee.

IT IS NOT THE INTENT OF THIS PRESENTATION TO BE A PROPOSED DESIGN PRESENTATION FOR THE PROJECT.

Invited Respondents will be allowed 20 minutes to make introductions of the proposed project team and explain how the team will use their expertise and experience to approach and ensure a successful project for North Florida College. A presentation not conforming to time limitations set herein will be halted at the expiration of the allotted time. Following the presentation, approximately 10 minutes are allowed for questions from the Evaluation Committee, however, the Committee reserves the right to extend the period allowed for questions as needed at the sole discretion of the Committee.

The Evaluation Committee will use the following criteria to evaluate and assign points or scores to each Respondent's interview. The maximum attainable point value for the interview is 50 points. The maximum attainable point value for each area to be assessed is indicated below and in parenthesis.

#### 1. Proposed Architectural Project Team, Staff and Functions (Up to 20 points)

The Respondent shall propose the Architectural Project Team including appropriate consulting engineers as applicable which will be assigned to the College. The Respondent shall introduce the team to the Evaluation Committee, describe their ability and experience, and indicate the function of each within their organization and their proposed role on projects. The Architect's assigned key staff shall be present at the time of the interview. Consultant engineering team members may be present at these interviews.

#### 2. Design Approach and Methodology (Up to 10 points)

Each Respondent shall demonstrate their knowledge of State Requirements for Educational Facilities (SREF) and the Florida Building Code (FBC) as it relates to the architectural/engineering design, space planning, documentation, construction documents, and management of the construction process after hard-bid award of a construction contract.

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

#### 4. Completeness, Responsiveness, and Effectiveness of Presentation (Up to 10 Points)



### INDIVIDUAL MEMBER INTERVIEW EVALUATIONS MEETING MAY 6, 2024 9:30, 10:15, 11:00, 11:45 AM BUIDLING 9 RM 20

#### <u>Instructions for completing form:</u>

2. Design Approach

3. Knowledge of SREF

4. Completeness of Presentation

The Evaluation Committee will use the following criteria to eval presentation and interview. The maximum attainable point value maximum attainable point value for each area to be assessed is in am meeting.  ***********************************	for the padicated	presentation and in below. <b>Do not in</b>	nterview is 50 p nitial confirmat	points. The ion until 11:45
<u>TIME - 9:30AM</u>			_	
Architectural Firm NONE	Commi	ttee Member	5	
Criteria		Maximum	Awarded	11:45 am
		Points	Points	Confirmation
1. Project Team		20	0	
2. Design Approach		10	0	
3. Knowledge of SREF		10	0	
4. Completeness of Presentation		10	0	
			Total Points	NA
TIME – 10:15AM  Architectural Firm		ttee Member	F	
Criteria		Maximum	Awarded	11:45 am
		Points	Points	Confirmation
1. Project Team		20	20	w
2. Design Approach		10	7	an
3. Knowledge of SREF		10	10	w
4. Completeness of Presentation		10	9	m
r			Total Points	46
**************************************				******
Architectural Firm Walker	Commi	ttee Member		
Criteria  1. Project Team		Maximum Points 20	Awarded Points	11:45 am Confirmation
1. Troject ream		≨-U	20	w

Total Points 48

10

10

10

10



#### INTERVIEW EVALUATION CRITERIA

After Respondents have been evaluated on their written submissions, three (3) Respondents with the highest scores will be more closely considered through an interview which will include introductions to the Evaluation Committee of the proposed architectural team and a presentation of the team's approach to performing the representative projects and services listed in this RFQ. Respondents are expected to discuss and present how they would provide the required services. The interview session will also include questions from the Evaluation Committee.

IT IS NOT THE INTENT OF THIS PRESENTATION TO BE A PROPOSED DESIGN PRESENTATION FOR THE PROJECT.

Invited Respondents will be allowed 20 minutes to make introductions of the proposed project team and explain how the team will use their expertise and experience to approach and ensure a successful project for North Florida College. A presentation not conforming to time limitations set herein will be halted at the expiration of the allotted time. Following the presentation, approximately 10 minutes are allowed for questions from the Evaluation Committee, however, the Committee reserves the right to extend the period allowed for questions as needed at the sole discretion of the Committee.

The Evaluation Committee will use the following criteria to evaluate and assign points or scores to each Respondent's interview. The maximum attainable point value for the interview is 50 points. The maximum attainable point value for each area to be assessed is indicated below and in parenthesis.

#### 1. Proposed Architectural Project Team, Staff and Functions (Up to 20 points)

The Respondent shall propose the Architectural Project Team including appropriate consulting engineers as applicable which will be assigned to the College. The Respondent shall introduce the team to the Evaluation Committee, describe their ability and experience, and indicate the function of each within their organization and their proposed role on projects. The Architect's assigned key staff shall be present at the time of the interview. Consultant engineering team members may be present at these interviews.

#### 2. Design Approach and Methodology (Up to 10 points)

Each Respondent shall demonstrate their knowledge of State Requirements for Educational Facilities (SREF) and the Florida Building Code (FBC) as it relates to the architectural/engineering design, space planning, documentation, construction documents, and management of the construction process after hard-bid award of a construction contract.

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

#### 4. Completeness, Responsiveness, and Effectiveness of Presentation (Up to 10 Points)